

FISKERTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN



Name of Meeting	Fiskerton Neighbourhood Plan Group
Location	Village Hall Fiskerton
Date	9 February 2016
Time/Duration	19:30 – 21:00

ATTENDEES

Name	Organisation
R Wall (Chairman)	NPG
S Canner	NPG
Pam Smith	NPG
C Darcel	NPG/WLDC
Paul Forman	NPG
Barry Canner	NPG
Luke Brown	WLDC
Eight members of the public	
Fiskerton parish clerk (note taker)	

AGENDA

ITEM NO	ITEM	ACTION
1.0	OPEN SESSION	
1.1	A question was raised: can members of the public raise items in AOB? RW said everything will be considered.	
1.2	The main concerns were regarding the location and quantity of new houses. The draft plan of December 2015 mentions 200 houses. There was concern this is too many.	
1.3	CD pointed out that Fiskerton will get more houses, in reality a minimum of 80 or 15% during the next 20 years. It was felt, based on experience in other areas of WLDC there would be more than 15%.	
1.4	<p>Concerns were raised about the North South orientation of development north of the village, a question was: What if The Church Commission and Primetake have a preference for orientation and villagers choose another what happens then? And what if no one wants new development?</p> <p>Whatever happens, the planning process should be lawful. For future planning applications; if a neighbourhood plan in place, its contents will be considered along with The National Planning Policy Framework. If there is no neighbourhood plan in place the planning authority will have no local reference to guide them toward their decisions or recommendations.</p>	
1.5	An independent character assessment will examine site selection. The assessor will be Natalie Cockrell.	NC

1.6	A consultation questionnaire will be circulated. It is important to get as many responses as possible to voice an opinion.	ALL
2.0	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE	
2.1	None	
3.0	DECLARATIONS	
3.1	None	
4.0	MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING AND MATTERS ARISING	
4.1	The minutes of the meeting held on 7 January 2016 were signed off as a true record	RW
5.0	CORRESPONDENCE	
5.1	A letter from a MOP – research done re-orientation of development north of the village	
6.0	PUBLIC CONSULTATION	
6.1	RW met with the school Governors to explain the draft plan and survey. The Head Teacher welcomes expansion of the village to support the school and secure its future	
6.2	School does not want to lose or share the school access	
6.3	RW to meet school pupils to discuss the project and how the village will be in 20 years time.	RW
6.4	The school is to be asked to participate in the housing survey.	
6.5	LB said landowner consultation will propose development options and when it may take place. Options describe planning limitations. Planning guidance is clear.	
6.6	RW said it is very important for proper consultation to take place and the aspiration is to reflect the wishes of the village residents. If the neighbourhood plan supports the building of 200 houses the Church Commissioners may allow the Paddock to be used for recreational purposes. No housing gives out a message; No paddock for recreation.	
6.7	To encourage consultation a cheese and wine event will be convened to encourage public participation and comment.	
6.8	Risks; No process and no plan may mean villagers have less control over the number of houses built. Appeals against planning applications are being lost because Government guides are favoured.	
6.9	The Housing Needs Survey is produced by Community LINCS. A letter is to go out with the survey to put it into context.	
6.10	The emerging local plan considers 200 homes. The Fiskerton NP will be favoured to deliver what the village needs in terms of house types.	
7.0	WLDC PLANNING	
7.1	A question; To what extent is WLDC influenced by the Central Lincolnshire Local Plan? WLDC look at the local plan and emerging plan The % increases are targets, all villages are different Planning gain – Paddock, buffer strip, sports provision Village needs growth to maintain school, buses and businesses	
7.2	Concerns were expressed; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • People need to be aware 200 houses is development equivalent to 2.5 times the size of Holmfield • Village needs are the first consideration • For Fiskerton to be sustainable 200 to 250 homes are required • Drainage is an important consideration 	
7.3	Planning applications; PC may comment, LCC are a consulted, WLDC make decisions.	
8.0	MEETINGS	

8.1	A meeting with the Church Commissioners will be held at WLDC on 11/2/16	RW
8.2	A school meeting TBA	RW
8.3	RW to consult with Primetake	RW
9.0	EVIDENCE REPORTS	
9.1	Scoping report by WLDC	
9.2	Environmental assessment – not starting until there is a draft plan	
9.3	Character assessment done by WLDC. LB to send a copy to NPG	LB
9.4	Housing assessment needs to be conducted by Community Lincs. A FAQ's sheet is to be included and a letter to put the survey into context.	CL
9.5	Posters to be printed, article placed in parish news – Tryritt Arms to get all information	
10.0	OTHER BUSINESS	
10.1	Agreed to use existing logo	
10.2	The exclusion zone around Primetake was explained	
10.3	Primetake five year plan was to expand to the east. No application to extend at present	
10.4	No additional group members proposed at the present time	
11.0	DATES OF NEXT MEETINGS	
11.1	22 Feb - NPG closed meeting	
	17 March - 2016 NPG meeting	
	19 May - Proposed date for consultation	

Signed as a correct record:

Date:

Chairman of Fiskerton Neighbourhood Plan