

| Evidential Responses from residents | Points to consider on selecting northern site | North | score | Points to consider on selecting western site | West | Score | |
|--|---|----------|-----------|--|---------|-------|-----------|
| Corn close as an access | impacted but road is wider than Ferry road | yes | 2 | No impact | yes | 3 | |
| Traffic risk & children | Children can walk to school | better | 3 | Children will have to cross main roads | worse | 1 | |
| Hall Lane traffic | Traffic on Hall lane will increase | yes | 2 | No impact | same | 3 | |
| Housing overlooked | Buffer zone will reduce impact | yes | 2 | Less housing is over looked | less | 3 | |
| Traffic increase | each side claims traffic will be worse | yes | 1 | each side claims traffic will be worse | yes | 1 | |
| Bypass | Neutral | | 0 | Neutral | | 0 | |
| Flooding | Existing properties make risk higher | Similar | 1 | West sites faces similar issues | Similar | 1 | |
| Hall Lane character | | degraded | 2 | No impact on Hall Lane | same | 3 | |
| Access to facilities in CW | No change | same | 2 | Access to facilities in CW could be improved | yes | 2 | |
| Village centre queried | Centre on activities not geography | yes | 3 | Centre on pub & church | yes | 2 | |
| 200 homes | Neutral | | 0 | Neutral | | 0 | |
| housing mix | Neutral | | 0 | Neutral | | 0 | |
| Linking of Reepham & Lincoln roads. | Impact | None | 1 | possible road improvement | yes | 2 | |
| H&S wrt site access | Neutral | | 0 | Neutral | | 0 | |
| Bats & wildlife | Neutral | | 0 | Neutral | | 0 | |
| Existing footpaths | Neutral | | 0 | Neutral | | 0 | |
| water supply & foul sewerage | Neutral | | 0 | Neutral | | 0 | |
| 1/2 & 1/2 development | Neutral | | 0 | Neutral | | 0 | |
| significance of archaeology | Is unknown | yes | 2 | Is questioned | yes | 1 | |
| Construction traffic | more impact on the village | yes | 1 | less impact on the village | yes | 2 | |
| Don't build on agricultural land | Neutral | | 0 | Neutral | | 0 | |
| views from the river | Is degraded | yes | 2 | Not impacted | yes | 1 | |
| Is close to central infrastructure | | yes | 3 | some question the central point of the village | yes | 1 | |
| Supports paddock as green open space | | yes | 3 | Yes but there is no gain at present | No | 1 | |
| Prevents further elongation of village footprint | | yes | 3 | | No | 1 | |
| Green wedge is reduced | No reduction | No | 3 | some reduction | yes | 1 | |
| Brown field sites | Neutral | | 0 | Neutral | | 0 | |
| View from west spoilt | No degradation of view | yes | 3 | Is degraded | yes | 1 | |
| Traffic calming | Neutral | | 0 | Neutral | | 0 | |
| 1 way traffic system | Neutral | | 0 | Neutral | | 0 | |
| Village could become split communities | | No | 3 | | yes | 1 | |
| footpath | Foot paths are integrated into the plan | | 0 | Foot paths are integrated into the plan | | 0 | |
| Sustainability doc | | 1st | 3 | | 2nd | 1 | |
| Safe pedestrian access to centre | Pedestrian course way to village centre | yes | 3 | Pedestrians will still have to walk along Ferry rd | Yes | 1 | |
| Surface water | roads subject to flooding | similar | 1 | roads subject to flooding | similar | 1 | |
| site selection doc | | 1st | 3 | | 2nd | 1 | |
| Buffer zone | Buffer zone becomes an asset to the village | yes | 3 | Buffer zone not implemented | no | 1 | |
| Archaeology | No impact | No | 3 | impacted | yes | 2 | |
| Dangerous roads | Reepham road dangerous bend | yes | 1 | Hall lane dangerous junction | yes | 1 | |
| Proximity to Listed Buildings | No impact | None | 3 | more difficult to blend in new housing | yes | 2 | |
| High pressure pipeline | No impact | None | 3 | Full impact unknown | yes | 2 | |
| poor village facilities | Neutral | | 0 | Neutral | | 0 | |
| Paddock deal | Northern site will secure the paddock | yes | 3 | Paddock is not currently part of any deal | unknown | 2 | |
| Prime take | No impact | No | 3 | Impact needs to be quantified | yes | 2 | |
| Another vote on site selection | Neutral | | 0 | Neutral | | 0 | |
| Improved footpath to Cherrywillingham | Of small benefit | yes | 1 | More benefit | yes | 2 | |
| Number of Homes impacted | approximately 35 to 40 homes impacted | yes | 1 | Less impact on existing home | yes | 3 | |
| 71 responses & Northern site scores | | | 73 | 67 responses & Western site scores | | | 52 |

| | |
|-----------------|-----|
| | Key |
| Positive point | 3 |
| Less -ve or +ve | 2 |
| Negative point | 1 |
| | 0 |